

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ROBERT ALAN GIBBS,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT,

15 Respondent.  
16

No. 2:21-cv-0027 WBS CKD P

ORDER

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas  
18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate  
19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On March 31, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein  
21 which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to  
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has filed  
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.


24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this  
25 court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the  
26 court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper  
27 analysis.

28 /////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations issued March 31, 2021 are **ADOPTED** in full;
2. Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is dismissed for failure to exhaust state court remedies;
3. This case is closed; and
4. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

Dated: April 20, 2021

  
WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

gibb0027.jo